arXiv:1407.5518v1 [math.AP] 21 Jul 2014

HARDY INEQUALITIES FOR p−LAPLACIANS WITH ROBIN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

TOMAS EKHOLM, HYNEK KOVAŘÍK, AND ARI LAPTEV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the best constant in a Hardy inequality for the $p-$Laplace operator on convex domains with Robin boundary conditions. We show, in particular, that the best constant equals $((p-1)/p)^p$ whenever Dirichlet boundary conditions are imposed on a subset of the boundary of non-zero measure. We also discuss some generalizations to non-convex domains.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47F05, 39B72

Keywords: $p-$Laplacian, Robin boundary conditions, Hardy inequality

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded domain and denote by

$$\delta(x) = \min_{y\in\partial\Omega} |x-y| \tag{1.1}$$

the distance between a given $x \in \Omega$ and the boundary of Ω. The Hardy inequality for the p-Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$:

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^p\,dx \;\geq\; K\int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{\delta(x)^p}\,dx, \qquad \forall\, u\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad p>1, \tag{1.2}$$

is closely related to the variational problem

$$\mu_p(\Omega) := \inf_{u\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^p\,dx}{\int_\Omega |u(x)/\delta(x)|^p\,dx}. \tag{1.3}$$

Hence $\mu_p(\Omega)$ is the best possible value of the constant K in (1.2). Hardy showed in [H] that inequality (1.2) holds with some $K>0$ in dimension one. In higher dimensions it is known, see [OK], that if Ω has Lipschitz continuous boundary, then $\mu_p(\Omega)>0$. In general, $\mu_p(\Omega)$ depends on the domain Ω and satisfies the upper bound

$$\mu_p(\Omega) \leq C_p := \Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)^p,$$

see [MMP]. However, if Ω is convex, then $\mu_p(\Omega) = C_p$. The latter was first proved for $p=n=2$, see [D3, Sec. 5.3] or [D1, Sec. 1.5], then in [MS] for $n=2$ and any $p>1$, and finally in [MMP] for any n and any $p>1$. Moreover, it was shown in [MMP] that $\mu_2(\Omega) = C_2$ if and only if the variational problem (1.3) has no minimiser. The fact that for convex domains there is no minimiser of (1.3) opens a possibility to improve inequality

(1.2), even with the sharp constant $K = C_p$, by adding to its right hand side a positive contribution. Such improvements, with various forms of the remainder terms, have been obtained in [A1, A2, AW, BM, FMT, HHL] for $p = 2$ and later in [T] for $p \neq 2$. As for non-convex domains, it is known, due to [A], that in the case $n = p = 2$ for simply connected domains one has $\mu_2(\Omega) \geq 1/16$, see also [LS]. For a throughout discussion of various Hardy inequalities for $p = 2$ we refer to [D2] and references therein.

In this paper we consider an analogue of the variational problem (1.3) for a Robin Laplacian. This means that we replace the numerator of (1.3) by the functional

$$\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, u] = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sigma \, |u|^p \, d\nu, \qquad u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega), \tag{1.4}$$

where $d\nu$ denotes the surface measure on $\partial\Omega$, $\sigma : \partial\Omega \to [0, +\infty]$ is a function which defines the boundary conditions and $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is a suitable family of test functions. The function space $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ clearly depends on the choice of σ. Notice that with the choice $\sigma = +\infty$, and consequently $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we arrive at the Dirichlet boundary conditions and hence at problem (1.3).

To pass from Dirichlet boundary conditions to Robin boundary conditions means to take $\sigma \neq +\infty$. In order to make the choice of σ as general as possible we will impose the Dirichlet boundary on a part of the boundary $\Gamma \subseteq \partial\Omega$, which might be empty, and Robin boundary conditions on the remaining part $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$;

$$\sigma \in \Sigma_\Gamma := \big\{ f : \partial\Omega \to [0, +\infty] \, , \; f = +\infty \text{ on } \Gamma, \; f \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega \setminus \overline{\Gamma}) \big\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Consequently, we choose

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega) := \overline{\big\{ u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \, : \, u|_\Gamma = 0 \big\}}^{\, \|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}.$$

Obviously, the weight function in the denominator of (1.3) has to be modified accordingly, since the test functions from $W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega)$ do not vanish on the whole $\partial\Omega$.

In order to define our variational problem we need to introduce some notations. Let S be the singular set of Ω, i.e. the set of points in Ω for which there exist at least two points $y_1, y_2 \in \partial\Omega$ where the minimum in (1.1) is achieved. Hence, for $x \in \Omega \setminus S$ let $\pi(x) = y$, where y is the unique point on $\partial\Omega$ satisfying $\delta(x) = |x - y|$. In analogy with the case $p = 2$, see [KL], we then define the function $\alpha : \Omega \setminus S \to [0, +\infty]$ by

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{p-1}{p} \, \sigma\big(\pi(x)\big)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}. \tag{1.6}$$

We pass from the weight function $\delta(x)^{-p}$ in (1.3) to the weight function

$$(\delta(x) + \alpha(x))^{-p},$$

which takes into account the boundary conditions defined in term of σ. For example, if $\sigma = +\infty$, then $\alpha = 0$ as expected. Note also that the function is defined almost everywhere in Ω since the set S has Lebesgue measure zero, see [LN]. Hence we are led to the variational

problem

$$\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) := \inf_{u\in W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega)} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma,u]}{\|u\|^p_{p,\sigma}}\,, \tag{1.7}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{p,\sigma} = \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(\delta(x)+\alpha(x))^p}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \qquad u\in W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega). \tag{1.8}$$

We are going to establish a relation between $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)$ on one hand, and the function σ and geometry of Ω on the other hand. The main results of this paper are the following:

2. **Main results**

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be open bounded and convex with $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2. Let $\Gamma\subseteq\partial\Omega$. Then for any $\sigma\in\Sigma_\Gamma$ we have $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)\geq C_p$. Moreover,*

$$\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) = C_p \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad \Gamma\neq\emptyset. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.2. Note that Theorem 2.1 includes also the extreme cases $\Gamma=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma=\partial\Omega$. The first part of the statement, i.e. the inequality $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)\geq C_p$ is proven in Proposition 3.4 which provides a generalization of the Hardy inequality obtained in [KL] for $p=2$.

The second part of the claim is a consequence of Proposition 4.2. Equivalence (2.1) is closely related to the question of the existence of a minimiser for the variational problem (1.7), see Proposition 4.1.

Remark 2.3. Let us comment on the sharpness of the lower bound $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)\geq C_p$. The bound is sharp in the sense that the constant C_p cannot replaced by a bigger one and remain independent of σ, see section 4.1 for details. However, if $\Gamma=\emptyset$, then for a given $\sigma\in\Sigma_\Gamma$ Theorem 2.1 implies that $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)>C_p$. The following Theorem quantifies the gap between $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)$ and C_p in terms of the $\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Ω be as in Theorem 2.1. If $\Gamma=\emptyset$, then for any $\sigma\in\Sigma_\Gamma$ it holds*

$$\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)\;\geq\; C_p\,\left(1+\left(1+p\,R_{in}\,\|\sigma\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}\right)^{-p}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$R_{in} = \sup_{x\in\Omega}\delta(x)$$

is the in-radius of Ω.

2.1. **Outline of the paper.** We start by the proof of an L^p version of the Hardy inequality for Robin Laplacians, see section 3. Then we provide the proofs of our main results; this is done in section 4. In section 5 we study the behavior of the minimising sequences of the variational problem (1.7) in the case when $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)=C_p$, which corresponds to $\Gamma\neq\emptyset$. In particular, we show that minimising sequences, under certain conditions, concentrate on Γ. Finally, section 6 is dedicated to the analysis of a hardy-type inequality on a particular non-convex domain, namely on a complement of a ball.

3. **A Hardy inequality**

Similarly as in the case $p = 2$, see [KL], we first establish an appropriate one-dimensional estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $b > 0$ and assume that u belongs to $AC[0, b]$, the space of absolutely continuous functions on $[0, b]$. Then for any $\sigma \geq 0$ we have*

$$\int_0^b |u'(t)|^p \, dt + \sigma \, |u(0)|^p \, \geq \, C_p \int_0^b \frac{|u(t)|^p}{(t+\alpha)^p} \, dt + \frac{(p-1)\, C_p}{(b+\alpha)^p} \int_0^b |u(t)|^p \, dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{p-1}{p} \, \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-p}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the inequality for $u > 0$. We may assume that $\sigma > 0$. Let

$$f(t) = -(p-1)^{p-1} \, (t+\alpha)^{1-p}$$

and define

$$A := \Big| \int_0^b f'(t) \, u^p \, dt - (f(b) - f(0)) \, u(0)^p \Big|, \qquad B := \int_0^b |f(b) - f(t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \, u^p(t) \, dt.$$

Integration by parts and Hölder inequality show that

$$A^p \leq p^p \Big(\int_0^b |f(b) - f(t)| \, u^{p-1} |u'| \, dt \Big)^p \leq p^p \, B^{p-1} \int_0^b |u'|^p \, dt \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, the Young inequality gives

$$A^p \geq p \, A \, B^{p-1} - (p-1) \, B^p. \tag{3.4}$$

Using the fact that f is negative increasing and that

$$(1-s)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq 1 - s \leq 1 - s^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \qquad \forall \, s \in [0, 1]$$

we obtain

$$B = \int_0^b |f(t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(1 - \frac{|f(b)|}{|f(t)|} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \, u^p(t) \, dt \leq \int_0^b \left(|f(t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - |f(b)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right) u^p(t) \, dt.$$

Moreover, since $u > 0$, from the definition of A we get

$$A \geq \int_0^b f'(t) \, u^p \, dt + f(0) \, u(0)^p.$$

The above inequalities in combination with (3.4) and (3.3) then imply that

$$p^p \int_0^b |u'(t)|^p \, dt - p f(0) \, u(0)^p \geq (p-1)^p \int_0^b \frac{u(t)^p}{(t+\alpha)^p} \, dt + (p-1)^{p+1} \int_0^b \frac{u(t)^p}{(b+\alpha)^p} \, dt.$$

This implies (3.1). □

If $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then $\sigma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and it is easily seen that $W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Mimicking the approach of [KL] we deduce from Lemma 3.1 the following version of the Hardy inequality for Robin Laplacians on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Ω satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1. Then for any $\sigma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ it holds*

$$\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma,u] \geq\ C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(\delta(x)+\alpha(x))^p}\,dx + (p-1)\,C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(R_{in}+\alpha(x))^p}\,dx. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. As in [KL] we first prove inequality (3.5) for $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and σ continuous. By Tietze extension theorem then there exists a continuous function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\zeta\big|_{\partial\Omega} = \sigma. \tag{3.6}$$

Now let $Q \subset \Omega$ be an open convex polytop with N sides Γ_j, $1 \leq j \leq N$. Let n_j be the inner normal vector of the side Γ_j.

Let $\delta(x;Q)$ be the distance from $x \in Q$ to the boundary ∂Q and let

$$P_j = \big\{x \in Q\ :\ \exists\, y \in \Gamma_j,\ \delta(x;Q) = |x-y|\big\}.$$

For each $x \in P_j$ there is a unique $y \in \Gamma_j$ and $t \in [0,t_y]$ for which

$$x = y + t\,n_j, \tag{3.7}$$

where t_y is chosen in such a way that $y + t_y\,n_j \in \partial P_j$. Moreover, we have

$$R_{in}(Q) := \sup_{x\in Q}\delta(x;Q) = \max_{1\leq j\leq N}\sup_{x\in P_j}\delta(x;Q). \tag{3.8}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and (3.7) we get for each $y \in \Gamma_j$ the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{t_y} |u'_{n_j}(x)|^p dt + \zeta(y)|u(y)|^p \geq\ C_p \int_0^{t_y} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(t+\alpha(x;Q))^p} dt \\ + (p-1)C_p \int_0^{t_y} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(t_y+\alpha(x;Q))^p} dt,\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\alpha(x;Q) = \frac{p-1}{p}\,\zeta\big(\pi\big(x;Q\big)\big)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \tag{3.10}$$

and for x in the interior of some P_j we define $\pi(x;Q) = y \in \Gamma_j$, such that $\delta(x;Q) = |x-y|$. Note that $\pi(\cdot;Q)$ is densely defined in Q.

By integrating (3.9) over the boundary Γ_j and then summing the resulting inequality over $j = 1,..,N$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q |\nabla u|^p\,dx + \int_{\partial Q} \zeta(y)|u(y)|^p\,d\nu(y) \geq\ C_p \int_Q \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(\delta(x;Q)+\alpha(x;Q))^p} dx \\ + (p-1)\,C_p \int_Q \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(R_{in}(Q)+\alpha(x;Q))^p} dx.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From the convexity of Ω it follows that there exits a sequence of convex polytops $Q_m \subset \Omega$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which approximates Ω. More precisely, for every ε there exists an m_ε such that the Hausdorf distance between Ω and Q_{m_ε} satisfies $d_H(\Omega, Q_{m_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$. Similarly as in [KL] we then conclude, using the continuity of ζ in combination with (3.6), that

$$\zeta\big(\pi\big(x;Q_m\big)\big)\ \to\ \sigma(\pi(x)) \quad m\to\infty, \qquad \text{a. e.} \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Hence by the continuity of u

$$\int_{\partial Q_m} \zeta(y)\,|u(y)|^p\,dy \;\to\; \int_{\partial\Omega} \sigma(y)\,|u(y)|^p\,d\nu(y)$$

as $m \to \infty$. The last two equations together with (3.10), dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $R_{in}(Q_m) \le R_{in}$ for every m imply that

$$\mathcal{Q}[\sigma,u] \ge C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(\delta(x)+\alpha(x))^p}dx + (p-1)\,C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(R_{in}+\alpha(x))^p}dx, \quad u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \tag{3.12}$$

holds for all σ continuous.
Now if $\sigma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, then in view of the regularity of $\partial\Omega$ there exists a sequence of continuous functions σ_k on $\partial\Omega$ which converges to σ in $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$. From inequality (3.12) it follows that (3.5) holds for all σ_k. Since $u|_{\partial\Omega} \in L^p(\partial\Omega, d\nu)$ for any $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, using the dominated convergence we obtain (3.5) for any $\sigma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and all $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$.

Finally, let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By density there exists a sequence $u_j \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u_j \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $j \to \infty$. In view of the regularity of Ω it follows that $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$ with compact imbedding, see [Ad, Sect.7.5]. Hence, after applying inequality (3.12) to u_j and letting $j \to \infty$ we conclude that (3.5) holds for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. □

Remark 3.3. In the situation when σ is constant, a simpler proof of (3.5), without the second term on the right hand side, can be given, see [K, Lem. 4.4] for the case $p = 2$ and [DPG, Lem. 3.1] for the case $p > 1$.

As an immediate consequence of the above Proposition we obtain

Proposition 3.4. *Let Ω satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1. Then for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_\Gamma$ and all $u \in W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega)$ it holds*

$$\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma,u] \ge\; C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(\delta(x)+\alpha(x))^p}\,dx + (p-1)\,C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(R_{in}+\alpha(x))^p}\,dx. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Let $u \in W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega)$ and define the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$\sigma_n(y) = \begin{cases} \tilde\sigma(y) & \text{if} \quad y \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma, \\ n & \text{if} \quad y \in \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2 now implies

$$\mathcal{Q}[\sigma_n,u] \ge C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(\delta(x)+\alpha_n(x))^p}\,dx + (p-1)\,C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{(R_{in}+\alpha_n(x))^p}\,dx, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\alpha_n(x) = \frac{p-1}{p}\,\sigma_n\big(\pi(x)\big)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}, \qquad x \in \Omega.$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}[\tilde\sigma,u] = \mathcal{Q}[\sigma_n,u]$ and

$$\alpha_n(x) \le \alpha_{n+1}(x) \qquad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

the statement follows from (3.14) by monotone convergence. □

The following corollary of Proposition 3.4 provides yet another improvement of the Hardy inequality (1.2) with the sharp constant $K = C_p$.

Corollary 3.5. *For any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ it holds*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^p \, dx \;\geq\; C_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{\delta(x)^p} \, dx + \frac{(p-1)\, C_p}{R_{in}^p} \, \|u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. It suffices to apply Proposition 3.4 with $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$. □

4. **Proofs of the main results**

We start with the following Proposition which provides sufficient conditions for the existence of a minimizer of the variational problem (1.7).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded with $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2. Assume that $\sigma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Then* (1.7) *admits a minimiser. In other words, there exists $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega),\ \psi \neq 0$, such that*

$$\lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, \psi]}{\|\psi\|^p_{p,\sigma}} \, . \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $\{u_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a minimising sequence for $\lambda(\Omega, \sigma)$. Assume that

$$\|u_j\|^p_{p,\sigma} = \int_\Omega (\delta(x) + \alpha(x))^{-p} \, |u_j(x)|^p \, dx = 1 \quad \forall\, j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\{u_j\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists a subsequence, which we still denote by u_j and a function $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_j \to \psi$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. In view of the regularity of Ω and the compactness of the imbedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ we may suppose (by passing to a subsequence if necessary) that u_j converges strongly to ψ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, since $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly imbedded also in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$, see e.g. [Ad, Thm.5.22], it follows that we can find a subsequence $\{v_j\} \subset \{u_j\}$ such that $v_j|_{\partial\Omega} \to \psi|_{\partial\Omega}$ almost everywhere on $\partial\Omega$. By the weak lower semicontinuity of $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p$ and the Fatou Lemma we thus obtain

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, v_j] \geq \mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, \psi]. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$\|(\delta + \alpha)^{-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \Big(\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)^p \, \|\sigma\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \;<\; \infty,$$

see (1.6). The strong convergence of $v_j \to \psi$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ thus implies that

$$\|\psi\|^p_{p,\sigma} = \int_\Omega (\delta(x) + \alpha(x))^{-p} \, |\psi(x)|^p \, dx = 1.$$

Hence $\psi \neq 0$ and in view of (4.3) we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, \psi] \geq \lambda(\Omega, \sigma) = \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, v_j] \geq \mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, \psi].$$

This implies (4.1). □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let ψ be a minimiser for $\lambda(\Omega,\sigma)$ whose existence is guaranteed by Proposition 4.1. By (3.13) we have

$$\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma,\psi]}{\|\psi\|^p_{p,\sigma}} \geq C_p \left(1 + (p-1)\min_{x\in\Omega}\left(\frac{\delta(x)+\alpha(x)}{R_{in}+\alpha(x)}\right)^p\right).$$

Since

$$\frac{\delta(x)+\alpha(x)}{R_{in}+\alpha(x)} \;\geq\; \frac{\min_{x\in\Omega}\alpha(x)}{R_{in}+\min_{x\in\Omega}\alpha(x)} \qquad \forall\, x\in\Omega,$$

the lower bound (2.2) follows from (1.6). □

In order to give a proof of Theorem 2.1 we need the following

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded with $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2. If $\Gamma\neq\emptyset$, then $\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma)\leq C_p$.*

Proof. By assumption there exists $y_0\in\Gamma$ and an $r>0$ such that $\alpha(x)=0$ on $B(y_0,r)\cap\Omega$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and introduce the following continuous functions

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } |x-y_0|\leq r,\\ \text{linear in } |x| & \text{if } r\leq |x-y_0|\leq r+\varepsilon,\\ \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } r+\varepsilon\leq |x-y_0|,\end{cases}$$

and

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \delta(x)^{f_\varepsilon(x)+1-\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } 0\leq\delta(x)\leq\varepsilon,\\ \text{linear in } \delta(x) & \text{if } \varepsilon\leq\delta(x)\leq 2\varepsilon,\\ 0 & \text{if } 2\varepsilon\leq\delta(x).\end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$



FIGURE 1.

To proceed we introduce the following notation:

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := \{x\in\Omega\,:\,\delta(x)\leq\varepsilon\},\quad E(\varepsilon,r) := B(y_0,r)\cap\Omega_\varepsilon,\quad D(y_0,r) := B(y_0,r)\cap\partial\Omega.$$

Notice that $E(\varepsilon, r)$ is the set in Figure 1 marked in grey. By [Se, Sec. I.3] there exists a set of coordinates $(\delta, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the transformation $x \to (\delta(x), \omega(x))$ is C^1 on Ω_ε for ε sufficiently small. Moreover, the Jacobian $J(\delta, \omega)$ of this transformation satisfies

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} J(\delta, \omega) = 1. \tag{4.5}$$

From (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_{E(\varepsilon,r)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)|^p \, dx &= \left(\varepsilon + 1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^p \varepsilon \int_{E(\varepsilon,r)} \delta(x)^{p\varepsilon - 1} \, dx \\ &= \left(\varepsilon + 1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^p \varepsilon \int_{D(y_0,r)} \int_0^\varepsilon \delta^{p\varepsilon-1} \, J(\delta, \omega) \, d\delta \, d\omega \\ &= \frac{C_p}{p} \, \nu(D(y_0, r)) \, (1 + o_\varepsilon(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $o_\varepsilon(1)$ denotes a quantity which tends to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Similarly we find for $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_{E(\varepsilon,r)} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x)|^p}{(\delta(x) + \alpha(x))^p} \, dx = \varepsilon \int_{E(\varepsilon,r)} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x)|^p}{\delta(x)^p} \, dx &= \varepsilon \int_{E(\varepsilon,r)} \delta(x)^{p\varepsilon-1} \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \, \nu(D(y_0, r)) \, (1 + o_\varepsilon(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $|\nabla f_\varepsilon| \le C/\varepsilon$ for some $C > 0$ in combination with (4.5) it is straightforward to verify that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega \setminus E(\varepsilon,r)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)|^p \, dx \, = \, \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega \setminus E(\varepsilon,r)} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x)|^p}{(\delta(x) + \alpha(x))^p} \, dx = 0.$$

Hence by collecting the above results we arrive at

$$\lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma) \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, u_\varepsilon]}{\|u_\varepsilon\|^p_{p,\sigma}} = C_p,$$

and the claim follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The inequality $\lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma) \ge C_p$ follows from Proposition 3.4. The equivalence (2.1) follows from Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 4.2. □

4.1. **The case of constant σ.** Here we provide a more detailed information about the quantity $\lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma)$ in the case when σ is a positive constant.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex and bounded. Then*

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0+} \lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma) = +\infty, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma) = C_p. \tag{4.9}$$

$$\inf_{\Omega \text{ convex}} \lambda_p(\Omega, \sigma) = C_p. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. To prove (4.8) we first note that that there exists a constant c, depending only on R_{in}, such that for all $\sigma \le 1$ and all $x \in \Omega$ we have $(\delta(x)+\alpha)^p \ge c\,\sigma^{\frac{p}{1-p}}$. Hence

$$\lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) \ge c\,\sigma^{\frac{p}{1-p}} \inf_{u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma,u]}{\|u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}} \ \ge\ c\,\sigma^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \inf_{u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[1,u]}{\|u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}} \ \ge\ \tilde{c}\,\sigma^{\frac{1}{1-p}}.$$

holds for all $\sigma \le 1$. This proves (4.8). To prove (4.9) let $u_j \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ be a minimising sequence for the variational problem (1.3). Since $\alpha \to 0$ as $\sigma \to \infty$, the monotone convergence shows that

$$\limsup_{\sigma\to\infty} \lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) \le \limsup_{\sigma\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma,u_j]}{\int_\Omega (\delta(x)+\alpha)^{-p}\,|u_j(x)|^p\,dx} = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u_j(x)|^p\,dx}{\int_\Omega\ |u_j(x)/\delta(x)|^p\,dx}$$

holds for all j. By letting $j\to\infty$ we get

$$\limsup_{\sigma\to\infty} \lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) \le C_p.$$

This in combination with (2.2) implies (4.9).

Finally, to prove (4.10) we consider the example $\Omega = B_R$, i.e. the the ball centered at origin with radius R. Let

$$u_R(x) = (R+\alpha-|x|)^{(p-1)/p}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_p(B_R,\sigma) \le C_p + \frac{\sigma\alpha^{p-1}R^{n-1}}{\int_0^R r^{n-1}(R+\alpha-r)^{-1}dr}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{\sigma\alpha^{p-1}R^{n-1}}{\int_0^R r^{n-1}(R+\alpha-r)^{-1}dr} = 0,$$

this shows that

$$\inf_{\Omega \text{ convex}} \lambda_p(\Omega,\sigma) \le C_p.$$

The opposite inequality follows from Theorem 2.4. □

5. **Concentration effect**

In this section we are going to study the properties of the minimizing sequences of the problem (1.7) in the case $\Gamma \ne \emptyset$. Consider first the (normalized) minimizing sequence constructed in the proof of Proposition 4.2. More precisely, let

$$v_n = n^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,u_{1/n}, \qquad n\in\mathbb{N},$$

where u_ε is given by (4.4). In view of (4.6) and (4.7) it is straightforward to verify that

$$v_n \xrightarrow{w} 0 \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}_{0,\Gamma}(\Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|_{p,\sigma} > 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Moreover, we observe that v_n concentrates at Γ. Indeed, we have

$$\nabla v_n \ \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^p_{loc}(\Omega). \tag{5.2}$$

Below we are going to show that *any* minimizing sequence satisfying (5.1) concentrates at Γ in the sense of (5.2).

Theorem 5.1. *Let v_n be a minimizing sequence for the problem* (1.7). *Assume that v_n satisfies* (5.1). *Then*

$$\int_M |\nabla v_n|^p \ \to \ 0 \tag{5.3}$$

for any compact set $M \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$.

Proof. Let n_y denote the inner normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at a point $y \in \partial\Omega$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ we define

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega \ : \ \exists\, t \in [0, \varepsilon], \ \exists\, y \in \overline{\Gamma} \ : \ x = y + t\, n_y\}. \tag{5.4}$$

From the regularity assumptions on $\partial\Omega$ it follows that Ω_ε is not self-intersecting for ε small enough.

Suppose now that (5.3) is false. Then there exists a compact set $K \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$ and a number γ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_K |\nabla v_n|^p \ \geq \ \gamma. \tag{5.5}$$

Let us now take ε small enough such that $K \subset \Omega'_\varepsilon := \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon$. This is possible due to the assumption on K. From the boundedness of v_n in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and from the Hardy inequality we infer that

$$\sup_n \|v_n\|_{p,\sigma} < \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

By the Rellich-Kondrashov theorem and the first part of (5.1)

$$v_n \to 0 \quad \text{in } \ L^p_{loc}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, $(\delta + \alpha)^{-1} \in L^\infty(\Omega'_\varepsilon)$. Hence in view of (5.6) we have

$$a_n := \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \Big|\frac{v_n}{\delta + \alpha}\Big|^p \to 0 \tag{5.7}$$

We thus obtain the following lower bound:

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, v_n]}{\|v_n\|^p_{p,\sigma}} \ \geq \ \frac{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_n|^p + \gamma}{\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \big|\frac{v_n}{\delta+\alpha}\big|^p + a_n}.$$

Following [MMP] we now pass to the coordinates (δ, ω) in Ω_ε. Using the one-dimensional Hardy inequality and (4.5) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_n|^p &\geq \int_\Gamma \int_0^\varepsilon |\partial_\delta\, v_n|^p\, J(\delta, \omega)\, d\delta\, d\omega \\
&\geq (1 + o(1))\, C_p \int_\Gamma \int_0^\varepsilon |v_n/\delta|^p\, J(\delta, \omega)\, d\delta\, d\omega \\
&= (1 + o(1))\, C_p \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |v_n/\delta|^p,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o(1)$ denotes a quantity which tends to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence for ε small enough we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p[\sigma, v_n]}{\|v_n\|^p_{p,\sigma}} \;\geq\; (1+o(1))\, C_p + \frac{\gamma}{\sup_n \|v_n\|^p_{p,\sigma}} \;>\; C_p\,,$$

see (5.6) and (5.7). This is in contradiction with the fact that v_n is a minimizing sequence. □

Remark 5.2. The concentration effect in the case $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ was proved in [MMP].

6. **Hardy inequality on a complement of a ball**

In this section we are going to discuss the validity of a Hardy-type inequality for the functional (1.4) on a particular non-convex domain, namely on a complement of a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let us denote by B_R^c the complement in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the ball of radius R centered in the origin. The following result is certainly not new, but we prefer to give its proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that $n > p$. Then the inequality*

$$\int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^p \;\geq\; \left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^p \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \tag{6.1}$$

holds true for all $u \in W^{1,p}(B_R^c)$ and any $R > 0$.

Proof. By density and by the inequality $|\nabla u(x)| \geq |\nabla |u(x)||$, which holds for almost every $x \in B_R^c$, see e.g. [LL, Thm. 6.17], it suffices to prove the inequality for all positive functions $u \in C^\infty(B_R^c)$ supported in a compact set containing B_R. Moreover, in view of the rearrangement inequalities, see [LL, Thm. 3.4], we may assume without loss of generality that u is radial, i.e. $u(x) = f(|x|)$, where $f \in C^\infty([R,\infty)$ is non-negative and such that for some $\rho > R$ we have

$$r \geq \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(r) = 0.$$

Integration by parts together with the Hölder inequality then imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_R^\infty \frac{f(r)^p}{r^p}\, r^{n-1}\, dr &= \frac{1}{n-p}\left[f(r)^p\, r^{n-p}\right]_R^\rho - \frac{p}{n-p}\int_R^\infty f(r)^{p-1}\, f'(r)\, r^{n-p}\, dr \\
&\leq \frac{p}{n-p}\int_R^\infty f(r)^{p-1}\, r^{\frac{(n-p-1)(p-1)}{p}}\, |f'(r)|\, r^{\frac{n-1}{p}}\, dr \\
&\leq \frac{p}{n-p}\left(\int_R^\infty \frac{f(r)^p}{r^p}\, r^{n-1}\, dr\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_R^\infty |f'(r)|^p\, r^{n-1}\, dr\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the positivity of f in the second line. Hence

$$\int_R^\infty \frac{f(r)^p}{r^p}\, r^{n-1}\, dr \;\leq\; \left(\frac{p}{n-p}\right)^p \int_R^\infty |f'(r)|^p\, r^{n-1}\, dr,$$

and the claim follows. □

It is not difficult to verify that the constant $\left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^p$ cannot be improved and that inequality (6.1) fails if $p \geq n$. It turns out that when we replace the left hand side by the functional (1.4) with σ constant and positive, then (6.1), with a different constant, extends also to the case $p > n$.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that $p > n$ and that $\sigma > 0$. Then the inequality*

$$\int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^p + \sigma \int_{\partial B_R} |u|^p \;\geq\; C(\sigma, R) \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p}, \tag{6.2}$$

with

$$C(\sigma, R) = \min\left\{ \left(\frac{p-n}{p}\right)^p,\; R^p\, \sigma^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right\}. \tag{6.3}$$

holds for all $u \in W^{1,p}(B_R^c)$.

Proof. Let $\delta(x) = |x| - R$ and let

$$\gamma := \frac{p-n}{p}\, \sigma^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

From the convexity of the function $|x|^p$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ it follows that

$$|\xi_1|^p \;\geq\; |\xi_2|^p + p\, |\xi_2|^{p-2}\, \xi_2 \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) \tag{6.4}$$

holds for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We apply (6.4) with

$$\xi_1 = \nabla u, \qquad \xi_2 = \frac{\beta\, u\, \nabla\delta}{\delta + \gamma},$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a parameter whose value will be specified later. Hence

$$\int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^p \geq \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\beta^p\, |u|^p}{(\delta+\gamma)^p} + p \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\beta^{p-1} |u|^{p-1}}{(\delta+\gamma)^{p-1}}\, \nabla\delta \cdot \left(\nabla u - \frac{\beta\, u\, \nabla\delta}{\delta+\gamma} \right) \tag{6.5}$$

Since $|\nabla\delta| = 1,\ \Delta\delta = \frac{n-1}{|x|}$ and since the normal derivative of δ is equal to -1 on ∂B_R, an integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{(\delta+\gamma)^{p-1}}\, \nabla\delta \cdot \nabla u = -\gamma^{1-p} \int_{\partial B_R} |u|^p - (n-1) \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|\,(\delta+\gamma)^{p-1}} \\ + (1-p) \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{(\delta+\gamma)^{p-1}}\, \nabla\delta \cdot \nabla u + (p-1) \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{(\delta+\gamma)^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This in combination with (6.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^p + \gamma^{1-p} \beta^{p-1} \int_{\partial B_R} |u|^p \geq (p-1)(\beta^{p-1} - \beta^p) \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{(\delta+\gamma)^p} \\ - (n-1)\, \beta^{p-1} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|\,(\delta+\gamma)^{p-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Assume now that $R > \gamma$ in which case $\left(\frac{p}{p-n}\right)^p\; R^p\, \sigma^{\frac{p}{p-1}} < 1$. Then

$$\delta(x) + \gamma = |x| - R + \gamma < |x|$$

and the above inequality gives

$$\int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^p + \gamma^{1-p}\beta^{p-1} \int_{\partial B_R} |u|^p \ \geq \ \Big((p-n)\beta^{p-1} - (p-1)\beta^p\Big) \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p}\,. \tag{6.7}$$

The constant in front of the integral on the right hand side attains its maximum for

$$\beta = \frac{p-n}{p}. \tag{6.8}$$

Inserting this value of β into (6.7) we obtain (6.2) in the case $R < \gamma$.

If $R \geq \gamma$, then we have $\left(\frac{p}{p-n}\right)^p \ R^p\, \sigma^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \geq 1$ and

$$\delta(x) + \gamma = |x| - R + \gamma \ \leq \ \frac{\gamma}{R}\,|x|.$$

Inequality (6.6) then implies that

$$\int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^p + \gamma^{1-p}\beta^{p-1} \int_{\partial B_R} |u|^p \ \geq \ \Big((p-n)\beta^{p-1} - (p-1)\beta^p\Big) \left(\frac{R}{\gamma}\right)^p \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p}.$$

Choosing β as in (6.8) we thus arrive again at (6.2). □

Remark 6.3. (i). Note that for σ large enough we have $C(\sigma, R) = \left(\frac{p-n}{p}\right)^p$ which is in modulus equal to the sharp constant in the inequality (6.1) valid in the case $n > p$. On the other hand, for σ small enough we have $C(\sigma, R) = R^p\, \sigma^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ which vanishes in the limit $\sigma \to 0$, as expected.

(ii). In the case $n = p$ we have $C(\sigma, R) = 0$ which is natural since the inequality

$$\int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^n + \sigma \int_{\partial B_R} |u|^n \ \geq \ C \int_{B_R^c} \frac{|u|^n}{|x|^n}\,, \qquad u \in W^{1,n}(B_R^c) \tag{6.9}$$

fails for any $C > 0$ independently of R and σ. To see this, consider the family of test functions

$$u_k(x) = \left(1 - \frac{\log(|x|/R)}{\log k}\right)_+ \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in B_R^c.$$

By inserting u_k into (6.9) and letting $k \to \infty$ it follows that (6.9) must fail whenever $C > 0$. This is closely related to [MMP, Ex. 2] which shows that if $\Omega = B_R^c$ and $p = n$, then the best constant in the hardy inequality (1.2) is zero, i.e. $\mu_p(B_R^c) = 0$, see equation (1.3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

T. E. has been supported by the Swedish Research Council grant Nr. 2009-6073 and H. K. has been supported by the grant MIUR-PRIN 2010-11 number 2010A2TFX2 for the project "Calcolo delle variazioni".

REFERENCES

[Ad] R. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Elsevier Science Ltd, Oxford , UK, 2003.

[A] A. Ancona: On strong barriers and inequality of Hardy for domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **34** (1986) 274–290.

[A1] F.G. Avkhadiev: Hardy Type Inequalities in Higher Dimensions with Explicit Estimate of Constants. *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **21** (2006) 3–31 (electronic, http://ljm.ksu.ru).

[A2] F.G. Avkhadiev: Hardy-type inequalities on planar and spatial open sets. *Proceeding of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* **255** (2006) 2–12 (translated from Trudy Matem. Inst. V.A. Steklova, 2006, v.255, 8–18).

[AW] F.G. Avkhadiev, K.-J. Wirths: Unified Poincaré and Hardy inequalities with sharp constants for convex domains. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **87** (2007) 632–642.

[BM] H. Brezis and M. Marcus : Hardy's inequalities revisited. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **25** (1998) 217–237.

[D1] E.B. Davies: *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1989.

[D2] E.B. Davies: A review of Hardy inequalities. *The Maz'ya anniversary collection* Vol. 2, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Birkhäuser, Basel, **110** (1999) 55–67.

[D3] E.B. Davies: *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.

[DPG] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone: Faber-Krahn inequality for anisotropic Robin problems. *Potential Anal.*, to appear. DOI: 10.1007/s11118-014-9412-y.

[FMT] S. Fillippas, V. Maz'ya, A. Tertikas: Sharp Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **339** (2004) 483–486.

[Ha] H. Hadwiger: *Altes und Neues über konvexe Körper*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1955.

[H] G.H. Hardy: Note on a Theorem of Hilbert. *Math. Zeit.* **6** (1920) 314–317.

[HHL] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and A. Laptev: A geometrical version of Hardy's inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **189** (2002) 539–548.

[K] H. Kovařík: On the lowest eigenvalue of Laplace operators with mixed boundary conditions. *J. Geom. Anal.* **24** (2014) 1509–1525.

[KL] H. Kovařík, A. Laptev: Hardy inequalities for Robin Laplacians. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012) 4972-4985.

[LS] A. Laptev and A. Sobolev: Hardy inequalities for simply connected planar domains. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2* **225** (2008) 133–140.

[LN] Y. Li and L. Nirenberg: The distance function to the boundary. Finsler geometry and the singular set of viscosity solutions of some Hamilton-Jacoby equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **58** (2005) 85-146.

[LL] E. Lieb, M. Loss: *Analysis*. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **14**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[MMP] M. Markus, V.J. Mizel, and Y. Pinchover: On the best constant for Hardy's inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **350** (1998), 3237–3255.

[MS] T. Matskewich and P. E. Sobolevskii: The best possible constant in a generalized Hardy's inequality for convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, **28** (1997), 1601–1610.

[M] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin New York, 1985.

[OK] B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy inequalities*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics **219**. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990.

[Se] J. Serrin: The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear elliptic dierential equations with many independent variables, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A* **264** (1969) 413–469.

[T] J. Tidblom: A geometrical version of Hardy's inequality for $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004) 2265–2271.

TOMAS EKHOLM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, S-100 44 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN

E-mail address: tomase@math.kth.se

HYNEK KOVAŘÍK, DICATAM, SEZIONE DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BRESCIA, VIA BRANZE, 38 - 25123 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: hynek.kovarik@unibs.it

ARI LAPTEV, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, HUXLEY BUILDING, 180 QUEEN'S GATE, LONDON SW7 2AZ, UK

E-mail address: a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk